1	
2 3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
5) No. CV -PHX ROS
6	Plaintiff,) ORDER SETTING
7	vs.) SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
8))
9	Defendant.)
10))
11	
12	Pursuant to the Rules of Practice of the District of Arizona ("Local Rules") governing
13	differentiated case management, this action is designated a standard track case. Accordingly,
14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16, Fed.R.Civ.P., a Scheduling Conference
15	is set for at the Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, 401 West
16	Washington, 6th floor, Phoenix, Arizona. All counsel to this action must advise the Court
17	three days prior to this Conference if they plan to participate by telephone.
18	Counsel and the parties are directed to Rule 16 of the Fed.R. Civ.P. for the objectives of this
19	conference. The attorney who will serve as principal trial counsel or who has the authority to ac
20	in this case, and has knowledge of all facets of it, must appear at the Conference. Counsel who
21	fails to appear, who is late, or who sends an insufficiently authorized and knowledgeable
22	substitute may be ordered to pay the expenses of the other attorneys.
23	Counsel and the parties are to advise the Court in the Case Management Plan whether it is
24	advisable to hold the Scheduling Conference as scheduled in this Order or to postpone the
25	conference until after the first notice of the status of settlement negotiations and discovery is
26	due. (See the OPTIONS on Pages 1 and 2 of the Proposed Rule 16 Scheduling Order.)
27	

- C. The nature and bases of the claims and defenses and the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case.
- D. Arrangements for Initial Disclosures in compliance with Rule 26(a)(1) of the Fed.R.Civ.P. Unless otherwise stipulated in writing by the parties or otherwise directed by a written Order of this court, Initial Disclosures shall be made at the initial Rule 26(f) Case Management Meeting or within ten (10) days after the meeting. The parties shall file with the Clerk of the Court a Notice of Initial Disclosure, rather than copies of the actual disclosures;
- E. A schedule of all pretrial proceedings, including evidentiary hearings pursuant to Daubert and/or Fed.R.Evid. 702, a trial date, an estimate of the length of trial, and any suggestions for shortening the trial;
- F. The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues;
- G. Whether any party desires a settlement conference before a judicial officer, or to participate in any other alternative dispute resolution forum;
- H. Whether counsel prefer to defer the Scheduling Conference as set forth by this Order until after the first notice of the status of settlement negotiations and discovery is due. (See the OPTIONS on Pages 1 and 2 of Proposed Rule 16 Scheduling Order); and
- I. Any other matters which counsel may feel will help dispose of the matter in an efficient and economical manner.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

At the Rule 26(f) Case Management Meeting, the parties shall develop a **Proposed Case**Management Plan. This Plan shall be filed with the Court, not less than ten days before the Scheduling Conference and shall include individually numbered brief statements indicating:

- 1. The nature of the case, including the factual and legal basis of plaintiff's claims and defendant's defenses;
- Affirmative Defense and, if applicable, each Counterclaim. For those claims in which the burden of proof shifts, each party shall list the elements that the party must prove in order to prevail. The list of the elements of proof must contain citations to relevant legal authority (i.e., United States statutory and/or administrative law, U.S. Supreme Court cases, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals case law, Arizona State case and statutory law, and other authority as dictated by the conflict of law rules);
- 3. The factual and legal issues genuinely in dispute, and whether they can be narrowed by stipulation or motion;
 - 4. The jurisdictional basis of the case, citing specific statutes;
- 5. Parties, if any, which have not been served, as well as parties which have not filed an answer or other appearance. Unless counsel can otherwise show cause, the **Proposed Case**Management Plan shall be accompanied by a proposed order dismissing any party which has not been served, or appropriate pleadings seeking default judgment against any non-appearing party.
 - 6. The names of parties not subject to the Court's jurisdiction;
- 7. Whether there are further dispositive or partially dispositive issues to be decided by pretrial motions and hearings including evidentiary hearings pursuant to <u>Daubert</u> and/or Fed.R. Evid. 702;
- 8. Whether the case is suitable for reference to arbitration, to a master, and/or to a United States Magistrate Judge for all further proceedings;
- 9. The status of related cases pending before other judges of this Court or before other courts;

- 11. Suggested changes, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed by Fed.R.Civ.P. 30, 31 and 33:
- 12. The scope of discovery, the date discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or should be limited to or focused upon particular issues. For example, when potentially dispositive motions will be filed (e.g. motions for summary judgment or motions asserting a defense such as the statute of limitations) counsel should consider limiting discovery to the issue to be addressed in the motion until the Court has ruled on it;
- 13. The final date for supplementation of discovery is to be set two to three weeks after the close of formal discovery. Counsel are reminded of the duty pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(e) to supplement all Rule 26(a) disclosures and responses to discovery requests before the date for close of discovery or on the final date for supplementing discovery. Under no circumstances will the final date for supplementation occur after the date for filing dispositive motions. Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(3) disclosures of witnesses and exhibits which may be offered at trial must be disclosed before the close of discovery or, if agreed, on the final date for supplementation. governs and supersedes the "30 days before trial" disclosure deadline contained in Fed.R.Civ.P 26(a)(3). Therefore, (1) failure to timely supplement Rule 26(a) disclosure, including the witnesses and exhibits for trial, (2) failure to timely supplement responses to any valid discovery request, and (3) attempts to include witnesses or exhibits in the Proposed Final Pretrial Order that were not previously disclosed in a timely manner may result in the exclusion of such evidence at trial or the imposition of other sanctions pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 37, the Local Rules of the District Court, and the inherent power of the Court.
 - 14. In addition to the deadlines mentioned above, the proposed deadlines for:
 - (a) disclosure of experts and testimony under Rule 26(a)(2) of the Fed.R.Civ.P.;
 - (b) filing dispositive motions;

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

and

1

(c) lodging the **Joint Proposed Pretrial Order**.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

All deadlines must be included, using the attached form of **Scheduling Order**, as a guideline in preparing the Proposed Case Management Plan. If counsel cannot agree on deadlines, separate orders may be submitted for discussion at the Scheduling Conference.

- 15. Estimated date the case will be ready for trial, the estimated length of trial, and any suggestions for shortening the trial;
 - 16. Whether a jury trial has been requested.
- 17. The prospects for settlement, including any request for a settlement conference before another United States District Court Judge or Magistrate Judge, or other request of the Court for assistance in settlement efforts;
- 18. In class actions, the proposed dates for class certification proceedings and other class management issues. Such certification will result in the case being reassigned to the complex **track** for case management purposes;
- 19. Whether any unusual, difficult, or complex problems or issues exist which would require that this case be placed on the **complex track** for case management purposes; and
- 20. Any other matters which counsel believe will aid the Court in resolving this dispute in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner.

After the conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order, which shall control the course of the action. To the extent that the Court's Scheduling Order differs from the parties' **Proposed Case Management Plan**, the provisions of the Court's Order shall control the course of this action unless modified by subsequent Order of this Court. Counsel are cautioned that the deadlines established at this Conference shall be enforced.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

It is the responsibility of plaintiff's counsel to initiate the communication necessary to schedule the initial Case Management Meeting and to prepare the Proposed Case **Management Plan.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

1	The referenced documents/orders shall be obtained on this Court's website at
1	
2	www.azd.uscourts.gov.
3	This Court views the Scheduling Conference as critical to its case management responsibilities
4	and the responsibilities of the parties under Rule 1, Fed.R.Civ.P.
5	DATED this day of, 200
6 7	
8	
9	Roslyn O. Silver United States District Judge
10	copies to all counsel of record
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	